



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,441	08/23/2006	Hirokazu Inoue	41066	6096
116	7590	07/01/2009		
PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			EXAMINER VOGEL, NANCY TREPTOW	
			ART UNIT 1636	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/01/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/590,441	<b>Applicant(s)</b> INOUE, HIROKAZU	
	<b>Examiner</b> NANCY VOGEL	<b>Art Unit</b> 1636	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NANCY VOGEL. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Paul Serbinowski. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: proposed claims.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-5, 9, 10 and 13-18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hooykus et al., Ninomaiya.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: proposed amendments to the claims were discussed. Applicants will submit new claims in their response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/NANCY VOGEL/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1636	
--	--